

PREEMPTIVE ANALGESIA – REVIEW OF LITERATURE

MAK Bhuyan¹, BK Das², R Islam³, AKMS Alam⁴

Abstract

The use of analgesic before noxious stimulus of a nociceptive agent is known as preemptive analgesia. There are various drugs used in preemptive analgesia- Bupivacaine, Lignocaine, Ketoprofen, Tramadol, Diclofenac Sodium, Ketamine, Morphine, Fentanyl, Meloxicam. It can be applied by different methods - Local infiltration before incision, regional blocks, intravenous narcotic agents, intravenous or intra-muscular NSAIDs, intravenous or intra-muscular Ketamine. There is some controversy about the beneficial effect of preemptive analgesia than post-operative analgesia on human being but it has no harmful effect and it is cost effective. So we can practice preemptive analgesia and may get benefit.

Introduction

The use of analgesic before noxious stimulus of a nociceptive agent is known as preemptive analgesia. In other words it is an antinociceptive treatment that prevents establishment altered processing of afferent input, which implies postoperative pain. Surgery produces a barrage of pain signals to the spinal cord is a priming mechanism, which sensitizes the central nervous system. This is said to lead to enhanced postoperative pain. Use of analgesia before surgery

prevents sensitizing neuroplastic changes within spinal cord leading to diminished postoperative analgesic requirement.¹ At the beginning of previous century, the concept of preemptive analgesia formulated by Crile² on the basis of clinical observations. He advocated the use of regional blocks in addition to general anesthesia to prevent intraoperative nociception. The revival of this idea was associated with a series of animal studies started by Woolf.³ The goal of preemptive analgesia is to prevent the establishment of central sensitization which amplifies post operative pain.⁴

Pain

Pain (*L- Poena*) is more or less localized sensation of discomfort, distress, or agony, resulting from the stimulation of specialized nerve endings. It serves as protecting mechanism as it induces the sufferer to remove or withdraw from the source. But in case of postoperative pain, there is no chance to withdraw the patient from pain source. So we can try to prevent postoperative pain as much we can. Pain involves four physiological processes: transduction, transmission, modulation and perception. It begins with peripheral nociceptors when local tissue damage, a noxious stimulus, occurs during surgery which causes the release of inflammatory substances (prostaglandins, serotonin, bradykinin and substance P). This leads to generation of electrical impulses (transduction) at the peripheral sensory nerve endings, or nociceptors. These electrical impulses are conducted by nerve fibres (A-delta and C fibres) to the spinal cord (transmission). Further relay to the higher brain centers can be modified within the spinal cord (modulation) before an individual perceives painful stimulus (perception).¹ So pain can be blocked at various levels in this complex chain by different methods. The response of the noxious stimuli can be modulated by their repeated application⁵. Their sensitivity can be further enhanced by many tissue factors and inflammatory mediators released in the course of tissue injury. The response of neurons in the dorsal horn of spinal cord of experimental elements has been found to be biphasic⁶⁻⁷. The initial response to a noxious stimulus is brief and correlates with the sharp well localized initial pain. The second phase of the response is more prolonged and correlates with the

- * 1. **Dr Md Ashraful Kabir Bhuyan**
Assistant professor,
Department of Anesthesiology,
Chittagong Medical College, Chittagong.
2. **Dr B K Das**
Assistant professor and Head,
Department of Pediatric Surgery
Gono-Swasthya Medical College, Dhaka.
3. **Dr Rezaul Islam**
Associate professor and Head,
Department of Anesthesiology,
Gono-Swasthya Medical College, Dhaka
4. **Dr AKM Shamsul Alam**
Professor (cc),
Department of Anesthesiology,
Chittagong Medical College, Chittagong.

* Correspondence

dull, diffuse pain experienced after the initial injury. The process through which neurons of the dorsal horn of the spinal cord become sensitized by prior noxious stimuli is often referred to as "windup" or "central sensitization"^{18,9}. Much less is known about pain-induced sensitization of the supra-spinal components of CNS.

Effects of post-operative pain

- Humanitarian aspect: Irrespective of site and duration of operation, any suffering of pain is inhuman to every body.
- Respiratory effects: Surgery of the upper abdomen and chest reduces the vital capacity, functional residual capacity and the ability to cough and deep breathe. Any severe pain can impair the respiratory function.
- Cardiovascular effects: Sympathetic output (Tachycardia, hypertension and increasing blood catecholamines) increases due to pain, which leads to myocardial oxygen demand. So patients with pre-existing cardiac disease have a risk of myocardial ischaemia.
- Neuro-endocrine effects: Due to pain and stress response to surgery, secretion of catecholamines and catabolic hormones increase and thus increases catabolism and oxygen consumption and promotes sodium and water retention.
- Psychological effects: Severe pain may cause psychological upset of the patient.
- Economic effects: Severe pain increases hospital stay and increase use of various drugs thus increases the cost of patients.

Role of Preemptive Analgesia

Opiates administered before the first phase and reversed with the opiate antagonist Naloxone before the expected onset of second phase were capable of preventing this last stage of pain response. Thus, preventing the initial neuronal cascade could lead to long-term benefits by eliminating the hypersensitivity produced by noxious stimuli. After administration of subcutaneous Formaline in Rats, nociceptive neuronal activity is known to follow a biphasic response in which an early nociceptive phase, responding to local anesthetic or opioid, precedes a second period of dorsal horn excitation, known as the inflammatory phase^{6,7}.

Local anesthetic application after phase 1 prevents phase 2 and thus the expression of c-fos. The secondary wave of neural input sustains the hypersensitivity state. NMDA antagonists have been reported to block the second but not the initial phase of this biphasic response.¹⁰

Application of an analgesic regime before the onset of the noxious stimulus to prevent the central sensitization and thus to limit the subsequent pain experience – is the concept of preemptive analgesia.

Agents for preemptive analgesia

Following agents can be used for preemptive analgesia with proved efficacy¹¹ –

- Local anesthetic agents
 - Bupivacaine^{12,13,14}
 - Lignocaine
 - Mepivacaine¹⁵
- Non steroidal anti inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs)¹¹
 - Ketoprofen¹⁶
 - Tramadol¹⁷
 - Diclofenac Sodium
- Ketamine¹⁸
- Narcotic analgesics
 - Morphine¹⁹
 - Fentanyl²⁰
- Others-
 - Meloxicam²¹

Above agents can be used as a single agent or can be used in combination of two or more drugs.

Methods of Preemptive Analgesia

- Local infiltration of Bupivacaine and or lignocaine before incision¹²
- Regional blocks¹³
- Intravenous Narcotic agents^{19,20}
- Intravenous or intra-muscular NSAIDs^{11,16}
- Intravenous or intra-muscular Ketamine¹⁸

Clinical Studies

There are many clinical studies which supports the use of preemptive analgesia by different methods – local anesthetic blocked, regional blocked, NSAIDs, Ketamine injection, narcotics etc either by a single agent or in combination. A significant reduction in the post-operative analgesic requirements observed when analgesic therapy was administered before incision compared with administration of same therapy just

wound closure. A similar preemptive effect was seen when pre-incision epidural ketamine, morphine and bupivacaine were administered in combination in a randomized single blinded placebo control study¹¹.

Clinical evidence provided by Choe and associates⁴ for efficacy of preemptive analgesia with use of epidural Morphine and ketamine in a randomized double blinded study shows significantly improved analgesia was associated with pre-incisional use compared with post-incisional use of administration of analgesics.

Study done by Akarsu et al²¹, Obata et al¹⁵ also observed better postoperative pain control in preemptive analgesia. Randomized double blinded study done by Obata and associates on pre incisional and post operative epidural blocked on thoracotomy patients. They reported a significantly reduced incidence of post operative pain at six months follow up when continuous epidural blocked was instituted before incision¹⁵. There are many clinical studies which reveal better postoperative pain control by the use of preemptive analgesia^{12,13,16-20}.

Controversy

Preemptive analgesia is an effective method of pain control in rats^{6,7}. There are some controversies about the benefit of preemptive analgesia on human being, as many of the convincing results obtained from the study of rats. The most obvious reason for diverging experimental and clinical finding is that rats may differ substantially from human in pain pathophysiology and neuropharmacology²³. On Rat sensitization is readily induced in spinal sensory pathway after conditioning stimuli, when intense activity is prevented from reaching the dorsal horn. A large effect can be seen which may not be the case in other species less prone to sensitization because of genetic differences strongly effect the development of neuronal hyperexcitability²⁴ and hyperalgesia²⁵ after injury, even between various strains of Rats, comparison across species to human injury response must be suspect. Controversy arises on effectiveness of preemptive analgesia by some studies on human being.

Discussion

Though some studies have controversies but there are many clinical studies, which favors the beneficial effects of preemptive analgesia. A randomized control trial study of Ong et al¹¹ using opioids observed equivocal results between preemptive analgesia and post-operative analgesia but better results observed by using local anesthetic agents for preemptive analgesia.

Study of Wnek et al¹⁸ using Ketoprofen, Lee et al¹⁸ using ketamine, Jirasiritham et al¹² using pre-incisional bupivacaine on human observed better result of preemptive analgesia. By using Visual Rating Scale (VRS) and Visual Analog Scale (VAS), on a prospective study, Machida et al observed that preemptive analgesia using continuous subcutaneous injection of morphine is a simple, safe and effective method to control postoperative pain.¹⁹ Study of Sekar et al¹⁷ using bupivacaine and tramadol, Ozcan et al²⁰ using Fentanyl-bupivacaine, O'Neal et al¹³ using bupivacaine with adrenaline observed same result. A double-blinded randomized study on 80 patients had done by Monichi et al have little support on preemptive analgesia²⁶. Lam and associates²⁷ studied on 144 patients using Linear Visual Analog Scale, comparing preemptive analgesia verses pre-closure analgesia. Their study reveals better postoperative pain control on preemptive analgesia than pre closure analgesia. Like these, there are many studies in favor of preemptive analgesia on human being.

Conclusion

The methods of application of preemptive analgesia are simple and cost effective. Though, according to some author there is doubt about the beneficial effect of preemptive analgesia on human being but there is no harmful effect. As prevention is better than cure, so if we practice preemptive analgesia it may improve the quality of management of post-operative pain.

References

1. Sodhi V, Fernando R: Management of post-operative pain, in Kirk RM, Ribbons WJ (eds): *Clinical Surgery in General*, 4th ed. Churchill livingstone, London; 2004: 357-8.
2. Crile GW: The kinetic theory of shock and its prevention through anoci-association. *Lancet*, 1913; 185: 7-16.
3. Woolf CJ: Evidence of central component of post injury pain hypersensitivity. *Nature*, 1983; 308: 686-688.
4. Choe h, Kim YH, et al: Epidural Morphine plus ketamine for upper abdominal surgery: improved analgesia from pre-incisional versus post-incisional administration. *Anesth Analg*. 1997; 84: 560-573.
5. Fields HL: *Pain*. New York: McGraw-Hill, 1987.
6. Dickenson AH, Sullivan AF: Peripheral origins and central modulation of subcutaneous formaline-induced activity of rat dorsal horn neurons. *Neurosci Lett*; 83: 207-211.
7. Abbadie C, Taylor BK, Peterson MA et al: Differential contribution of the two phases of the formaline test to pattern

- of c-fos expression in the rat spinal cord: Studies with remifentanyl and lidocain. *Pain* 1997; 69: 101-110
8. Woolf CJ, Chong MS: Preemptive analgesia treating postoperative pain by preventing central sensitization. *Anesth Analg*, 1993; 77: 362-379
9. LaMotte RH, Scaine CN, Simone DA, et al: Neurogenic hyperalgesia: Psychological studies of underlying mechanisms. *J Neurophysiol* 1991; 66: 190-211
10. Dickenson AH, Sullivan AF: Differential effects of excitatory amino acid antagonists on dorsal horn nociceptive in the rat. *Brain Res* 1999; 506: 31-39
11. Ong CK, Lirk P, Seymour RA, et al: The efficacy of preemptive analgesia for acute postoperative pain management: a meta-analysis. *Anesth Analg*. 2005, 100(3): 757-73
12. Jirasiritham S, Tantivitayatan K, Jitasiritham S. Perianal blockage with 0.5% bupivacaine for postoperative pain relief in hemorrhoidectomy. *J Med Assoc Thai*. 2004, 87(6): 660-4
13. O'Neal MG, Beste T, Shackelford DP: Utility of preemptive local analgesia in vaginal hysterectomy. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2003, 189(6): 1539-41 surgery? *Agri*. 2004, 16(1):58-63.
14. Wu CT, Yeh CC, Yu JC et al: Pre-incisional epidural ketamine, morphine and bupivacaine combined with epidural and general anesthesia provides preemptive analgesia for upper abdominal surgery. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand* 2000; 44: 63-68.
15. Obata H, Salto S, Fujita N et al: Epidural blockade with mepivacain before surgery reduces long term post thoracotomy pain. *Can J Anaesth* 1999; 46: 1127-1132.
16. Wnek W, Zajackowska R, Wordliczek J, et al: Influence of pre operative Ketoprofen administration (preemptive analgesia) on analgesic requirements and the level of prostaglandins in the early postoperative period. *Pol J Pharmacol*. 2004, 56(5): 547-52.
17. Sekar C, Rajasekaran S, Kannan R, et al: Preemptive analgesia for postoperative pain relief in lumbosacral spine surgeries: a randomized controlled trial. *Spine J*. 2004, 4(3): 261-4.
18. Lee IH, Lee IO: Preemptive effect of intravenous ketamine in the rat: concordance between pain behavior and spinal fos-like immunoreactivity. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand*. 2005, 9(2): 160-165.
19. Machida M, Imamura Y, Usui T, et al: Effects of preemptive analgesia using continuous subcutaneous morphine for postoperative pain in scoliosis surgery: a randomized study. *J Pediatr Orthop*. 2004, 24(5): 576-80.
20. Ozcan S, Tabak M, Baltaci B, et al: Is epidural preemptive analgesia effective in lower abdominal Surgery? *Agri*. 2004; 16(1): 58-63
21. Akarsu T, Karaman S, Akercan F, et al: Preemptive meloxicam for postoperative pain relief after abdominal hysterectomy. *Clin Exp Obstet Gynecol*. 2004; 31(2): 133-6.
22. Lohsiriwat V, Lert-akyamane N, Rushatamukayanunt W: Efficacy of pre-incisional bupivacaine infiltration on postoperative pain relief after appendectomy: prospective double blind randomized trial. *World J Surg*. 2004; 28(10): 947-50.
23. Hogan QH: No preemptive analgesia: is that so bad? (Editorial) *Anesthesiology* 2002; 96:526-7.
24. Hao JX, Weisenfeld-HallinZ: Variability in the occurrence of on going discharges in primary afferents originating in the neuroma after peripheral nerve section in different strains of Rat. *Neurosci Lett* 1994; 169: 119-121.
25. Shir Y, Zeltser R, Vatine JJ et al: Correlation of intact sensitivity and neuropathic pain related behaviors eight inbred and out bred Rat strains and selection lines. *Pain* 2001; 90 : 75-82
26. Monoche S, Kehlet H, Dahl JB: A qualitative and quantitative systemic review of preemptive analgesia for post-operative pain relief: The role of timing of analgesia. *Anesthesiology* 2002; 96:725-741.
27. Lam KW, Pun TC, Ng EH, et al: Efficacy of preemptive analgesia for wound pain after laparoscopic operations in infertile women: a randomised, double blind and placebo control study. *BJOG* 2004; 111(4): 340-4.